Inside this issue:

- **ACLU Fighting "to Protect Transgender Kids' Freedom" Before SCOTUS**
- **Transatlantic Trade Agreement a Globalist Ploy**
- **Bill and Hillary Face Contempt Charges Over Epstein Subpoena Refusal**
- **Pornographic Sex Ed in Massachusetts Middle Schools**
- **From America First to Neocon Warfare Everywhere: The Graham-Rubio Triumph**
- **New Hampshire House Passes "Defend the Guard" Bill**
- **Another Stab at Carbon-capture Eminent-domain Reform in Iowa**
- **Georgia Legislators Overturn Anti-2A Local Ordinances**
- **Randy Fine Introduces Bill to Make Greenland America's 51st State, Trump Eyes Cuba**
- **New York Demolition Exposes Wind Waste and Taxpayer Fraud**
- **Iran Regime Change: Will We Replace the Ayatollahs With Neocon Communists?**
- **NYMHM: News You May Have Missed**

ACLU Fighting "to Protect Transgender Kids' Freedom" Before SCOTUS

An email in my inbox from the ACLU:

> William, our lawyers were at the Supreme Court yesterday to send a clear message: Politicians need to leave trans kids alone.
>
> Every trans kid should have the freedom to live authentically as themselves — on *and* off the field. Our families, freedoms, and rights are more than just a game.

"William, I'm at the Supreme Court today to protect transgender kids' freedom to be themselves," the message continues. "Politicians are targeting trans kids with bans on participating in school sports, even subjecting children to invasive and demeaning violations of their privacy. They think that by going after the most vulnerable among us — children — they can legitimize and advance their efforts to push trans people of all ages out of public life altogether."

The email is signed:

> Joshua Block
> Pronouns: He, him, his
> Senior Counsel, ACLU LGBT & HIV Project

Joshua Block is a leading activist attorney in the ACLU's LGBTQ legal assault on American laws and moral foundations. He played a key role in the *Obergefell v. Hodges* and *United States v. Windsor* cases that won legal recognition for homosexual "marriage." He and his "husband" have adopted two children.

The ACLU (American Civil Liberties Union, aka Atheists, Communists and Libertines United, or Anti-Christian Lawyers Union) is attempting to obtain a SCOTUS decision to overturn laws of more than two dozen states banning male athletes from competing in female athletics at public schools, colleges, and universities. It is a continuation of the subversive wrecking-ball agenda the ACLU has pursued for more than a century, during which it has enjoyed the lavish funding of the same tax-exempt foundations that fund

Contributors: Gary Benoit • Steve Bonta • Paul Dragu • Christian Gomez
William F. Jasper • John T. Larabell • Alex Newman • Peter Rykowski • Rebecca Terrell • Joe Wolverton II, J.D.
Copyright ©2026 by *The New American*

every radical cause: Ford, Rockefeller, Carnegie, Soros, Bloomberg, Packard, etc. In its 2018 tax returns, the ACLU reported more than $205 million in revenue. You can cause a lot of legal havoc with that much money, as the Bolshevik barristers have proven over and over. During the 2020 election cycle, an ACLU press release boasted that its supporters accounted "for nearly $463 million of donations to Democratic candidates, committees, and PACs." Talk about a pincer attack! The ACLU targets legislative, executive, and judicial branches simultaneously. And, of course, they *own* the court of public opinion, as managed by their comrades in the Deep State regime media.

Nevertheless, most reporters and analysts across the political spectrum are predicting that the SCOTUS majority is set to rule in favor of the state transgender bans and against the ACLU's tranny client. We shall see. — William F. Jasper

Transatlantic Trade Agreement a Globalist Ploy

On Friday, January 9, the South American Mercosur trade bloc signed a free trade agreement with the European Union after 25 years of negotiations. The deal will effectively create a customs union yoking much of South America with Europe. It is being portrayed as multilateralist pushback against Trumpian unilateralism. Two decades after the ignominious collapse of the effort to create a Free Trade Area of the Americas (FTAA), transparently modeled after the European Community that preceded the creation of the EU, it appears that the Old World is once again trying to coax the New into European-style multilateralism and renunciation of national sovereignty — only this time, they're doing an end run around the United States. Even Argentina, otherwise reliably skeptical under President Milei of globalist initiatives, has signed onto the arrangement, to be finalized in Asunción, Paraguay, on January 17. The new transatlantic free trade bloc is being particularly applauded by Marxist Brazil, which is furious at Trump's black-bagging of Venezuelan dictator Nicolás Maduro, as well as for tariffs imposed on Brazil as punishment for the imprisonment of Trump ally and former Brazilian president Jair Bolsonaro.

The Mercosur-EU agreement shows that globalists have not abandoned their agenda, and are perfectly willing to ignore the United States in their relentless campaign to bring about international integration in the Western Hemisphere. Their hope, of course, is that once Trump is out of office, they can bring a more compliant United States back into the globalist fold, and enmesh us in all of the new agreements, from climate protocols to carbon taxes to regional free trade blocs, that they have managed to cobble together in the meantime. — Steve Bonta

Bill and Hillary Face Contempt Charges Over Epstein Subpoena Refusal

What was the relationship between Bill and Hillary Clinton and notorious pedophile Jeffrey Epstein? That's what the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform is trying to find out. Flight logs show Bill Clinton taking multiple trips on Epstein's jet and Epstein provided funding to the Clinton Foundation. But the Clintons are defying a legally promulgated

subpoena that was *unanimously* adopted in a *bipartisan* vote. That's right, on July 23, 2025, *Republicans and Democrats* on the Federal Law Enforcement Subcommittee *unanimously approved* by voice vote a motion to issue subpoenas to 10 individuals (both Republican and Democrat), including former President Bill Clinton and former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton. Following the Subcommittee vote, on August 5, 2025, Oversight Committee Chairman James Comer (R-Ky.) issued the subpoenas. The Republicans who were subpoenaed have testified. But, for nearly six months the Clintons have evaded the subpoenas. On January 13, the Clintons announced their defiance in an open letter to Chairman Comer. They stated:

> Every person has to decide when they have seen or had enough and are ready to fight for this country, its principles and its people, no matter the consequences. For us, now is that time.

Law professor Jonathan Turley pungently noted that Hillary Clinton, Joe Biden, and the leading Democrats gleefully prosecuted Steve Bannon and Peter Navarro for defying the Democrats' subpoena. Now the shoe is on the other foot. He wrote:

> The defiance could result in a criminal referral for the couple, prosecutions that would mirror those under the Biden Administration.
>
> In 2021, Hillary Clinton mocked Bannon's indictment for contempt of Congress by saying that she planned for a "restful" weekend as he prepared for possible conviction.
>
> It is an ironic moment. The Clintons are adopting the Bannon strategy that led to his conviction.
>
> At the time of Bannon's charge, I noted that all he had to do was appear and invoke his Fifth Amendment right to remain silent. The Committee would then have had to issue an immunity grant to compel any testimony. The worst thing that you could do is not appear.
>
> That is precisely what the Clintons just did.

The New American
TOP DAILY HEADLINES
YOUR RED-PILLED INTELLIGENCE SOURCE!
STRAIGHT FROM OUR PAGES TO YOUR INBOX
Follow us on Social Media

Responding to the Clintons' defiance, Chairman Comer announced on January 14 that he will be initiating contempt proceedings against both Bill and Hillary Clinton. — William F. Jasper

Pornographic Sex Ed in Massachusetts Middle Schools

If your children are anything but homeschooled, chances are you don't know what they're being fed in today's classrooms.

A case in point came out in recent testimony before the Plymouth (Massachusetts) school board. Arthur and Kathy Bon Conselho, parents of six students in the system, addressed the new middle-school sex-education curriculum that administrators are using to groom their sixth and eighth graders.

"I emailed the health teacher and said I'd like to review this curriculum," Kathy said. "We went back and forth," she remembers, because the instructor told her the information could not be emailed. Nor was it available online.

Instead, she made an in-person appointment for a full month later. During that meeting she received a binder with printouts of slides — six per page, and "easily over 100 pages" total. She had two hours to review the information; she could not retain any copies.

"Why is this so hard for parents to access this curriculum?" she asked.

The images were extremely small and barely legible. She could not read the writing on some of them at all. However, she could make out enough. For example, students are presented with a breakdown of sexual acts that pose high, low, and no risk of contracting a sexually transmitted disease. "In the section on oral sex, it specifically listed a 'cut-open condom' as a low-risk behavior," she said. (Curiously, Kathy focused on confusion over the definition of "cut-open condom." She ignored the elephant in the room: the fact that a "section on oral sex" *exists at all* in an eighth grade "health" class syllabus.)

Kathy also expressed concern about role-playing exercises and games in which her middle-school students were expected to participate. One example casts three students as egg, sperm, and contraceptive device, respectively. "I honestly think that if my son was an ovulatory egg and his friend was a sperm, that would be a horrifying game to play in class. And I can't imagine any eighth grader would feel comfortable doing it."

She closed her testimony by asking the board members if they have reviewed the curriculum. No one answered.

In response to testimony from the Bon Conselhos and other parents, the district published skeletal syllabus synopses on its website — its way of remaining transparently opaque.

The group Massachusetts Informed Parents is more helpful. The Plymouth Public Schools' sex-ed curriculum "is based on the Rights, Respect, Responsibility (3Rs) Curriculum," a "project of Advocates for Youth, a progressive activist organization that actively promotes issues like abortion access, free contraceptives on campuses, transgenderism, and more." Advocates for Youth is affiliated with Amaze.org, where educators and students can access graphic cartoons teaching kids that perversions such as masturbation and pornography are "normal."

Is this surprising? Since at least 1972, the predators who are after our children have printed their plans in black and white. If your children are still enrolled in any school, public or private, that teaches sex education — why? — Rebecca Terrell

From America First to Neocon Warfare Everywhere: The Graham-Rubio Triumph

The ghost of John McCain stalks the White House. And it appears that his neoconservative all-war-all-the-time myrmidons Marco Rubio and Lindsey Graham have succeeded in completely converting Donald Trump into a true-blue McCain acolyte. After campaigning on a pledge to cease the endless foreign war habits of his predecessors (both Democratic and Republican), the Donald apparently believes he can start a war anywhere, anytime. Without the constitutional requirement of a congressional declaration of war? Absolutely! Who needs that? We'll just call it something else, *à la* the globalist CFR's doctrine of perpetual conflict, as practiced by the CFR-laden Truman-Eisenhower-Kennedy-Johnson-Nixon-Carter-Reagan-Bush-Clinton-Bush-Obama-Biden administrations. Syria, Iran, Yemen, Nigeria, Venezuela? Done. Iran (again), Cuba, Colombia, Mexico, Nicaragua? Coming up, maybe soon. Greenland, Canada? On the table.

One of the most disturbing things for any true MAGA believer must be the ever-present image of Senator Lindsey Graham (R-S.C.) hovering around President Trump. Known as McCain's "Mini-Me," Graham was a nasty Never-Trumper — until he realized he needed help for his 2020 reelection. He went full chameleon, transforming from a McCain minion into a Trump sycophant. Trump saved him, giving the globalist neocon the endorsement lifeline he needed to stay in the senate. Now, he's on Trump like Velcro and, undoubtedly, is counting on another Trump endorsement for his 2026

reelection bid. Which, together with interventionist war hawk Marco Rubio running State, portends a continuation/escalation of the global warfare agenda.

Aside from the crucial fact that the promiscuous use of presidential war powers inescapably undermines the constitutional checks and balances that protect our freedoms, we should be no less concerned about our military services being spread too thin and our armaments being expended in multiple engagements in far-flung battlefields. Recall, it was Fidel Castro's sidekick Che Guevara, addressing the 1967 Tricontinental terrorist summit in Havana, who called on communist revolutionaries everywhere to "create two, three, many Vietnams." The purpose: to bog America down and bleed it in multiple quagmires. It was an idea embraced by the Moscow-Beijing-Havana-Hanoi-aligned Left throughout the world. Keep in mind, it is not necessary to have "boots on the ground" *à la* Vietnam, Iraq, Afghanistan for this multi-front strategy to have a devastating impact. Ultimately, Russia and China benefit from a United States economically and militarily that is over-extended. — William F. Jasper

New Hampshire House Passes "Defend the Guard" Bill

Last week, the New Hampshire House of Representatives voted to nullify unconstitutional federal deployments of the state National Guard.

House Bill 104 (HB 104), titled the "Defend the Guard Act," passed the House by voice vote on January 8. An attempt to declare the bill "inexpedient to legislate" failed earlier that day by a 159-182 vote. The bill now heads to the New Hampshire Senate for consideration.

Sponsored by Representative Tom Mannion (R-Hillsborough) and co-sponsored by nine other representatives and one senator, HB 104 would prevent combat deployments of the New Hampshire National Guard by the federal government in the absence of a congressional declaration of war or another constitutional reason in accordance with Article I, Section 8, Clauses 11 and 15, of the U.S. Constitution.

In other words, HB 104 is an excellent example of state nullification of unconstitutional federal acts.

As state legislatures convene for their 2026 sessions, it is imperative that they introduce and pass more nullification bills like HB 104. Call and meet with your state legislators, and urge them to do just that. — Peter Rykowski

Another Stab at Carbon-capture Eminent-domain Reform in Iowa

Iowans are throwing strong support behind a new bill to prevent the use of eminent domain for carbon-capture pipelines.

Republican State Representative Steven Holt, chairman of the Judiciary Committee, introduced House Study Bill (HSB) 507 on Monday. The following day a subcommittee hearing ended with a decision to advance the bill to the full Judiciary Committee. If approved there, it will go to the House for a vote.

Bearing no formal title, the short bill of only 24 lines mandates that "a person shall not exercise the power of eminent domain to acquire right-of-way for, construct, or operate a pipeline for the primary purpose of transporting carbon oxide." (Those involved in crafting the legislation tell the *Insider Report* that use of the term "oxide" rather than "dioxide" provides additional protections against eminent domain encroachment.) Since the act is "deemed of immediate importance," it would take effect "upon enactment."

Public comments from pipeline fighters are pouring in. Century-farm owner Kathy Stockdale writes, "This bill supports our constitutional rights. It gives landowners

the choice to sign or not to sign an easement agreement, and [we] can negotiate more freely without the threat of eminent domain. It allows the pipeline to still pursue easements and build, but gives landowners the right to say no."

She's making these points because last year a similar bill, HF 639, passed both House and Senate in Iowa, but Republican Governor Kim Reynolds vetoed it. She gave her rationale in a letter that accompanied her decision: "Those who crafted the bill said they don't want to stop CO_2 [carbon dioxide] pipelines that rely entirely on voluntary agreements. But that is exactly what the bill does. For that reason alone, I cannot sign it." Reynolds also emphasized her willingness to work with the legislature "to strengthen landowner protections" and "protect private property."

Stockdale remains skeptical. Local news outlet KTIV interviewed her last year after Reynold's veto. Stockdale told them that she has personally spent $10,000 in legal fees fighting the pipelines since 2021. Like many of her fellow pipeline fighters, she is not convinced of their governor's good will.

"It's no surprise that Governor Reynolds has once again sided with her political donors rather than Iowa landowners," Iowa's House Minority Leader Brian Meyer told KTIV. "Iowa House Democrats and Republicans worked together to protect property rights," but their governor pulled the rug out from under them.

It's little wonder that Iowans don't trust her on this issue. Bruce Rastetter was the largest single donor to Reynold's gubernatorial campaign, and he owns Summit Carbon Solutions, the private, for-profit company that stands to win big on eminent domain for these pipelines. *Business Record* revealed that he and Reynolds "try to meet for lunch four times a year," while "opponents of CO_2 pipelines say they haven't been able to get a single meeting with Reynolds on the topic of Rastetter's project."

Additionally, Reynolds served as lieutenant governor under former Iowa Republican Governor Terry Brandstad (the former U.S. ambassador to China under Trump and cousin to former U.S. Attorney General Merrick Garland). Rastetter was a major donor to Branstad's gubernatorial campaigns, and the latter now works as a senior policy advisor for Summit. While Branstad was in office, he appointed Bruce's brother, Brent, to the state Environmental Protection Commission.

Also tying current Governor Reynolds to Rastetter is her former chief of staff, Jake Ketzner, who is now Summit's vice president of government affairs.

With all those strings, it will be interesting to see what happens should HSB 507 land on her desk during this legislative session. — Rebecca Terrell

Georgia Legislators Overturn Anti-2A Local Ordinances

In addition to nullifying unconstitutional federal acts, states must ensure that their own policies — and their local governments' policies — protect God-given rights and uphold America's founding principles. On Tuesday, the Georgia General Assembly did just that by passing legislation that would overturn local ordinances that violate the right to keep and bear arms.

Senate Bill 204 (SB 204), sponsored by now-former Senator Colton Moore (R-Trenton), would prohibit local governments from requiring individuals to lock their firearms in their vehicles. Legislators passed the bill in response to a 2024 Savannah, Georgia, ordinance that imposed such a mandate.

SB 204 is now awaiting Governor Brian Kemp's signature or veto.

Speaking to The Associated Press in support of SB 204, Moore stated, "Ultimately what Savannah was doing was regulating citizens' right to have a gun in their car. Their car was getting broken into, and they were going from a

victim of a crime now to being a criminal. And that's what we don't want to happen going forward."

States have a duty to ensure that their local governments — which the states themselves created — uphold citizens' God-given rights. Call and meet with your state legislators, and urge them to support and enact policies that uphold America's founding principles. — Peter Rykowski

Randy Fine Introduces Bill to Make Greenland America's 51st State, Trump Eyes Cuba

Now that Venezuela is under U.S. control, the next two primary candidates for American intervention in the Western Hemisphere are Greenland and Cuba. President Donald Trump has made clear he wants to annex the former completely and is considering overthrowing the regime of the latter, with the possibly of running the country as he is now doing with Venezuela. And since America is nearly a century deep into the age of near-absolute Executive Branch power, what Trump wants is probably what Trump will get.

That's not to say that there aren't legitimate arguments for turning Greenland into a U.S. territory or ousting the China-friendly communist regime 90 miles south of Florida. Various U.S. administrations have tried to get Greenland for more than a century, as we've covered in previous reports, because of its abundance of natural resources and its valuable geostrategic location. And if Cuba doesn't meet the parameters for Monroe Doctrine-esque intervention, then almost nothing else does. We encourage our readers to take in an analysis we recently published on the Monroe Doctrine here.

Unfortunately, this administration has developed a pattern of pursuing the right idea in the wrong way. That was certainly the case with tariffs, as we explained last May, and it's almost assuredly the case with Venezuela for the simple reason that the president took war action without congressional approval, violating the U.S. Constitution.

Regarding Greenland, Representative Randy Fine (R-Fla.) introduced on January 12 a bill to make Greenland the 51st state. The "Greenland Annexation and Statehood Act" is just two pages long, with the meat of the proposal encompassing one lone paragraph. The bill is written as a permission slip for Trump to do what it takes to get Greenland and then get Congress to rubber-stamp the territory into the Union. According to the bill, "The President is authorized to take such steps as may be necessary, including by seeking to enter into negotiations with the Kingdom of Denmark, to annex or otherwise acquire Greenland as a territory of the United States."

Once the territory is taken:

> … the President shall submit to Congress a report consisting of such changes to Federal law as the President may determine necessary to admit the newly acquired territory as a State, in order to expedite congressional approval of such statehood for Greenland after the adoption of a constitution that Congress determines to be republican in form and in conformity with the Constitution of the United States.

Fine echoed Trump's talking points about Greenland's national security value in a statement about the bill. "Greenland is not a distant outpost we can afford to ignore — it is a vital national security asset," he said. "Whoever controls Greenland controls key Arctic shipping lanes and the security architecture protecting the United States. America cannot leave that future in the hands of regimes that despise our values and seek to undermine our security."

Read the rest of this article at TheNewAmerican.com. — Paul Dragu

New York Demolition Exposes Wind Waste and Taxpayer Fraud

Last fall, New York officials imploded seven wind turbines in the Town of Madison. Only 25 years ago they

were heralded as harbingers of New York State's green energy future. Today, they are trash.

The turbines made up the 11.5-megawatt facility Madison Wind Power Project, owned by EDP Global. Operators decided to raze the windmills due to end-of-life factors and lack of parts. The facility also has a track record of lower-than-expected performance.

"At the time of Madison's construction in 2000, the wind farm was anticipated to have an operational lifespan of 20 to 25 years," wrote EDP Global in a statement announcing the demolition. "As of 2025, the project has come to the end of that lifespan and is no longer considered economically viable, primarily due to the current turbines being out of production, making repairs and obtaining replacement parts increasingly difficult and costly."

EDP Renewables of North America acquired the wind farm from a conglomerate of developers that had built it. They took advantage of the Federal Production Tax Credit (PTC), a per-kilowatt-hour tax credit for electricity generated over the first 10 years of operation, which amounted to millions in taxpayer dollars funneled into a project fraught with "degradation and failure," according to industry experts. Nevertheless, the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority also provided a $2 million grant to fund the project.

But these weren't the only financial incentives. Like all renewables, the project received priority treatment for power sold into the local grid. Madison also generated revenue from the sale of renewable energy certificates that other entities can use to claim they are reducing their carbon emissions. Additionally, wind farms like Madison commonly use accelerated depreciation for capital equipment, which reduces federal taxable income in initial years. The project also received favorable property-tax treatment available to "renewable energy" projects.

EDP Global is based in Portugal, and has an annual revenue of around $18 billion. The company has already announced its next project in the Madison, New York area: the Rolling Upland Wind Farm. Mr. Taxpayer, whom do you think will be funding the construction? — Rebecca Terrell

Iran Regime Change: Will We Replace the Ayatollahs With Neocon Communists?

Never heard of Maryam Rajavi? How about the National Council of Resistance of Iran (NCRI) or the Mojahedin-e Khalq (MEK)? Well, you're going to be hearing a lot more about them. They are the new "saviors" of democracy whom we must install as the new leadership in Tehran. So we are told by the neocon globalist chorus. They have been singing this tune for many years, and it looks like now it might happen.

Here's a salvo from the *Daily Express* in the U.K. on January 13 titled, "Horror in Iran as '12,000 protesters slaughtered' in brutal regime crackdown":

> Sources within the People's Mojahedin Organisation of Iran (MEK), which is fighting to bring full democracy to Iran after 47 years of Islamic dictatorship, said the new figures were based on investigations drawing on local sources, hospitals, forensic medicine centres, and the families of those killed or disappeared across the 195 cities.

The story continues:

> Maryam Rajavi, President-elect of the National Council of Resistance of Iran (NCRI), and one of the key figures hoping to help replace Ayatollah Ali Khamenei as a fully democratic government extended her condolences to the people of Iran, particularly to the families, relatives, friends, and fellow activists of these

proud martyrs. She stated that this major crime against humanity will not go unanswered by the Iranian people and the uprising youth.

Rajavi emphasized that those who ordered and carried out this brutal massacre will undoubtedly be brought to justice in a democratic Iran of tomorrow.

Who is Maryam Rajavi? She is the visible face of the NCRI and MEK. Her husband, Massoud Rajavi, is said to be co-leader with her, but he has not been seen since 2003. The MEK, like the Ayatollah's Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps (IRGC) that it opposes, is a Communist/Islamist hybrid animal. The Rajavis, like Ayatollah Khomeini, recognized the need to blend their Marxism-Leninism with Islam to get political traction among Persian Muslims. It is one of many Red/Green Islamo-Leninist terror organizations afflicting our geo-political ecosystem. They collaborated with Khomeini's forces to topple the Shah, but then lost out in the bloody struggle for power afterwards. So, they allied themselves with Saddam Hussein in Iraq — and with U.S. politicians. They were designated as a terrorist organization by the United States, United Kingdom, United Nations, European Union, Canada, Japan, and Australia. Why? Because they carried out numerous terrorist acts, including assassinations of U.S. civilians and military personnel. But, then they were delisted as a terrorist organization by (drum roll): Secretary of State Hillary Clinton during Barack Obama's reign. Democrats who have jumped on the Rajavi-MEK-NCRI bandwagon include Bill Clinton, Howard Dean, Hakeem Jeffries, Louis Freeh, Bill Richardson, Cory Booker, Judy Chu, Sheila Jackson Lee, and Bob Menendez. Not to be outdone, Republicans have their own MEK cheerleading section, which includes Marco Rubio, Lindsey Graham, John Bolton, Mike Pompeo, Richard Perle, Michael Mukasey, Tom Cotton, Ted Cruz, Mike Pence, Dana Rohrbacher, and many more.

Is this the bipartisan Chalabi Choir all over again? Yes, only worse. Remember Ahmed Chalabi, the "George Washington of Iraq"? Turns out he was a total fraudster. But the *Washington Post, New York Times*, and the Bush administration globalists put us in bed with his phony, corrupt Iraqi National Congress (INC) with disastrous

results for America, the Middle East, and the world. Are we about to see a repeat, with a Maryam Rajavi/MEK regime change in Iran reprising the Ahmed Chalabi/ICN regime change in Iraq?

No question, the Iranian regime from Supreme Leader Ayatollah Khomeini in 1979 to Supreme Leader Ayatollah Khamenei today is a brutal, totalitarian dictatorship. But don't blame us. While Jimmy Carter and his CFR/Trilateral Commission handlers (Zbigniew Brzezinski, Cyrus Vance, Warren Christopher, Patricia Derian, Andrew Young, George Ball, *et al.*), along with *The New York Times, Washington Post*, PBS, and the rest of the Deep State media herd, were demonizing Shah Pahlavi and glorifying Khomeini, we were exposing their lies with the truth in the pages of *American Opinion* and *The Review of The News* (forerunners of *The New American*) as well as *The Bulletin* of The John Birch Society. (For the real story on the globalist-communist collaboration in the overthrow of the shah and the installation of the ayatollah, see James Perloff's article in *The New American*, "Iran and the Shah: What Really Happened.")

Regardless of the anti-globalist, MAGA rhetoric, President Trump has surrounded himself with (or at least has allowed himself to be surrounded with) neocon interventionists who are pushing him to engage in military ventures in every corner of the planet. — William F. Jasper

NYMHM: News You May Have Missed

Explainer: Narrative Wrong, ICE Agents Lawfully Attempted to Detain Woman Shot and Killed

[DHS Expands Surveillance Reach With New Drone Office]

[Secret Service Agent Who Divulged Vance Security Details Has Been a Citizen Less Than 10 Years]

[DHS Ends TPS for Somalis; Some 700 Set for Deportation in March If They Don't Leave Voluntarily]

[Leftist Women: Even Crazier After All These Years?]

[Child Custody Regime Needs Major Reform: Sen. Nichols]

[HUGE Changes to Feds' Vax Recommendations Policy]

[Charlie Kirk Coalition Falling Apart Amid MAGA Civil War]

[Powell Is the Real Threat to Fed Independence]

[EXCLUSIVE: How Trump's Own Appointees Aided Russiagate Plot Against Him]

[Trump's Supporters Grow Frustrated by "Flexible" Approach to Abortion Pill]

[Trump Admin Quietly Restores Some Funding to Planned Parenthood After Lawsuit]

[END]